



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**47 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 562 OF 2026
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 1251 OF 2026**

Indubai Pandurang Mhaske .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra, through
Collector Jalna and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar A. Bide, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri V. V. Jahagirdar, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Shri Shyam C. Arora, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

**AND
48 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 715 OF 2026
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 1312 OF 2026**

Sarjerao Sheshrao More .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra, through
Collector Jalna and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar A. Bide, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri S. N. Morampalle, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Shri Shyam C. Arora, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

**AND
49 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 716 OF 2026
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 1308 OF 2026**

Sampatrao Shankarrao Wagh .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra, through
Collector Jalna and others .. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar A. Bide, Advocate for the Applicant.
 Shri N. D. Raje, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
 Shri Shyam C. Arora, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

AND
115 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 718 OF 2026
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 1256 OF 2026

Parmeshwar Asaram Garde	.. Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra, through Collector Jalna and others	.. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar A. Bide, Advocate for the Applicant.
 Shri N. D. Raje, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
 Shri Shyam C. Arora, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

AND
116 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 719 OF 2026
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 1352 OF 2026

Madhukar Ravsaheb Maske	.. Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra, through Collector Jalna and others	.. Respondents

Shri Dnyaneshwar A. Bide, Advocate for the Applicant.
 Shri V. V. Jahagirdar, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
 Shri Shyam C. Arora, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 17TH MARCH, 2026.

FINAL ORDER :

. Applicants seek to condone delay of ranging from 3996 to 4984 days caused in preferring first appeals. It is submitted for

the reasons stated in the applications, the delay deserves to be condoned.

2. The applications are contested by the respondents.

3. I find no reason to doubt bonafides on the part of the applicants. Averments of the applications have gone uncontroverted. Applicants are ready to give up interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period. Matters are squarely covered by settled view taken by this Court in numerous matters.

4. For the reasons stated in the applications, the civil applications are allowed. The delay stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not be entitled to claim interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period. Office shall register the first appeals. The civil applications are disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]

bsb/March 26