



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5057 OF 2026

Shantinath Satwaji Narwade

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through The Secretary And Another

...

Ms. N. B. Kamble, AGP for Respondent-State

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar h/f Mr. S. R. Dheple, Advocate for Respondent
no.2

.....

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 06TH MAY, 2026

ORDER :-

. Learned Advocate for the petitioner is absent, however we have considered the petition and the memo. Heard Ms. N. B. Kamble, learned AGP for respondent-State and Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar h/f Mr. S. R. Dheple, for respondent no.2.

2. The petitioner contends that he was appointed as Gram Sevak on 07.02.1970 with respondent no.2 and he was compulsorily retired on 30.11.2002. According to the petitioner, in view of Rule 100 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the petitioner is entitled for the pension and pensionary benefits and therefore, he has made representation with respondent no.2 on 19.11.2025. Though, the petitioner has prayed for grant of pension, gratuity, and

pensionary benefits, it would be for respondent no.2 to take the decision. Hence, we **dispose of** the Writ Petition in view of the statement made on behalf of respondent no.2 that the respondent no.2 would decide the representation dated 19.11.2025 within a period of 8 weeks from today.

3. Respondent no.2 to decide the said representation accordingly.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

Rushikesh/2026