



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5056 OF 2026

1. Ramesh S/o Hari Patil
2. Sau. Vandana Jagannath Baviskar,
3. Shri. Shankar Motiram Patil,
4. Smt. Kamal Ashok Sonawane.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Y. B. Bolkar, Advocate for the Petitioners

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent-State

Mr. M. S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent nos.6 and 7

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

DATED : 06TH MAY, 2026

ORDER :-

1. Present petition has been filed for following reliefs :

“B) By way of appropriate writ order or direction in the like nature, this Hon’ble High Court may kindly direct the respondents to pay the benefits of additional increments, in favour of petitioners, appreciating the fact that, the petitioners are the District Awardee Teachers prior to 04/09/2018.

C) By way of appropriate writ order or direction in the like nature, this Hon’ble High Court may kindly direct the respondent No. 6 to confer the benefits of additional increments in favour of the petitioners by appreciating the Circular dated 06/10/2023 issued by the State Government and by considering the representations/applications (Annexure – ‘J’) made by the petitioners,

since, petitioners are District Awardee Teachers prior to 04/09/2018.

(D) Pending hearing and final disposal of the writ petition, this Hon'ble High Court may kindly direct the respondent no.6 to confer the benefits additional increments in favour of the petitioners by appreciating the circular dated 06/10/2023 and the representations (Annexure- 'J') made by the petitioners, since, petitioners are District Awardee Teachers prior to 04/09/2018."

2. Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, learned AGP waives service of notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Mr. M. S. Sonawane, learned Advocate, waives service of notice for respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 and 7 relies on the Paragraph Nos. 3, 4 and 5 of order dated 06.03.2025 passed in Writ Petition No. 3032 of 2025 which reads thus :

"3. The claim put forth by the Petitioners is as regards a right to seek additional increments on the ground that they are the District Awardee Teachers. Since the grievance is that the circular dated 12.12.2000, issued by the State Government of Maharashtra for granting such teachers one additional increment as a reward for being a scholarly teacher, has not been followed, the Petitioners are before this Court.

*4. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has delivered an order on 24.09.2019 in Writ Petition No.11700 of 2019 filed by **Vishnu Sahebrao Khandagale and others Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others** with a connected petition, based on an earlier decision of this*

Court dated 25.01.2019, in Writ Petition No.1954 of 2018.”

5. Since it is undisputed that the Petitioners are identically placed with the Petitioners in Writ Petition No.11700 of 2019, we do not find any reason to arrive at a decision contrary to the view taken by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court. For the sake of clarity, it would be apposite to reproduce paragraph Nos.4 to 10 of the order dated 24.09.2019 hereunder :-

"4. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that all the petitioners are the District Awardee teacher prior to 04/09/2018.

5. For the first time additional increment was given to the District awardee teachers under Government Resolution dated 12/12/2000. Pursuant thereto, additional increments as per the said Government Resolution were given.

6. There are other categories of awardee teachers such as State awardee teachers, national awardee teachers and the award being given for excellent/outstanding work. In the present case, we are concerned only with the District awardee teachers.

7. Upon perusal of various Government Resolutions placed on record, it does not appear that prior to the Government Resolution dated 04/09/2018, there was any Government Resolution taking away benefit of the additional increment given to District awardee teachers. Of course, now, no District awardee teacher would be entitled for the benefit in view of the Government Resolution dated 04/09/2018. However, Government Resolution dated 04/09/2018 can not be given retrospective effect.

8. *Government Resolution relied by the learned counsel for Zilla Parishad viz. Government Resolution dated 27/02/2009 is general in nature. It only states that the committee formed by the Government has made recommendation and the same is to be accepted with certain modifications. Under the Government Resolution dated 24/08/2017, Government has taken decision that the benefit of advance increment would not be available to those who were granted certificate of excellent work. It is under the Government Resolution dated 04/09/2018 now the benefit of additional increment to the District awardee teacher can not be given.*

9. *However, all those who were granted certificate of District awardee teacher prior to 04/09/2018 can not be denied the said benefit of additional increment.*

10. *In light of the above, we pass the following order.*

ORDER

The respondent / Zilla Parishad after confirming themselves of the petitioners being District awardee teachers and awarded certificate prior to 04/09/2018, shall consider the case of the petitioners for additional increment as is laid down under the Government Resolution dated 12/12/2000. The same shall be considered on its own merits expeditiously preferably within a period of six months."

4. The petitioners placed on record the certificate issued for declaring them the said award by name Zilla Shikshak Puraskar for the year 1999-2000. As aforesaid, government resolution makes it

very clear that such enactment should be given, no doubt, there appears to be delay on the part of the petitioners as they stood retired on 30.04.2024, 31.01.2023, 31.03.2008 and 01.06.2018 respectively, but the said delay cannot be the hurdle because they were then entitled in view of the government resolution itself. Such enactment has not been given to them as it appears *prima facie*. However the respondent Nos. 6 and 7 will have to verify the same.

5. In view of the above, this Writ Petition is disposed of with similar directions as under :-

(a) Respondent No.6, after confirming that the Petitioners are the District Awardee Teachers and are awarded such certificates prior to 04.09.2018, shall consider the case of the Petitioners for additional increments as is laid down under the Government Resolution dated 12.12.2000.

(b) The same shall be considered on its own merits, expeditiously and preferably within a period of six (6) months.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]