



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4927 OF 2026

Shrikrishna Educational And Cultural Mandal Jalgaon Thr Its President

VERSUS

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Ii And Ors

...

Mr. Yogesh B. Bolkar, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. N. K. Chaudhari, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J

DATE : MAY 04, 2026

PC :

1. The present Writ Petition is filed with following prayers :

B) By way of appropriate writ order or directions in the like nature, the Hon'ble High Court may kindly quash and set aside the impugned prohibitory order dated 23/12/2025 under Section 8-F of the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952, passed by the Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (Recovery Officer), District Office Jalgaon, District Jalgaon, by which, the Bank Accounts of the petitioner with the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 Branches are blocked.

C) By way of appropriate writ order or directions in the like nature, the Hon'ble High Court may kindly direct the respondents to permit the petitioner to operate the bank accounts with the respondent Nos. 2 and 3, since the petitioner has already deposited the amount as directed by the respondent No. 1 and further ready to deposit the outstanding amount towards the Provident Fund and allied contributions.

D) By way of appropriate writ order or directions in the like nature, the Hon'ble High Court may kindly direct the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to consider and take positive steps pursuant to the application dated 24/03/2026 submitted by the petitioner and consequently to permit the petitioner to operate the bank accounts of the petitioner's society.

2. Mr. Bolkar, learned Advocate appearing for Petitioner, invites attention of this Court to impugned order dated 23.12.2025 and points out that prohibitory order was passed under Section 8-F of Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (for short '**the Act**') in pursuance to order dated 12.08.2022. He points out that an amount of dues of Rs.31,06,735/- has been deposited by Petitioner with Respondent vide cheque No. 140921, drawn on Bank of Baroda, in favour of Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Nashik. The Petitioner has also requested Respondent to defreeze his accounts, however, no action is taken by Respondent.

3. Per contra, Mr. Chaudhari, learned Advocate appearing for Respondents, submits that apart from impugned order dated 23.12.2025, there are further prohibitory orders issued against Petitioner, so also many other orders under Section 8-F of Act have been passed against him. He would submit that although Petitioner has deposited amount in pursuance to impugned order, he has not deposited amount in pursuance to other orders.

4. Having considered submissions advanced, this Court finds that present Petition is filed to extent of prohibitory order dated 23.12.2025 passed under Section 8-F of Act. As rightly pointed out by Mr. Bolkar, Petitioner has already deposited an amount of Rs.31,06,735/-, and if there are no other dues, Petitioner shall be entitled to reliefs claimed in this Petition

5. In that view of matter, Writ Petition stands allowed in terms of prayer

clause 'B' and 'C'.

6. Needless to state here that operation of present order is limited to prohibitory order dated 23.12.2025. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take action against Petitioner in accordance with law if he is in default of dues for any other period.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

Umesh