



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

22 WRIT PETITION NO. 4207 OF 2026

Balaji Anandrao Chinmalwar And Ors

VERSUS

Savita Venktesh Patil And Ors

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Reddy Avinash M

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. Sanket S. Palnitkar (through VC)

...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

Dated : April 27, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. The petitioners raises challenge to the order dated 21.2.2026 passed by learned Civil Judge J.D. Degloor, in R.C.S. No.524 of 2025, whereby the *status-quo* order dated 29.1.2026 passed below Exhibit-16 has been vacated.

2. Mr. Reddy, learned advocate appearing for petitioners submits that on 29.1.2026 Trial Court was pleased to pass order below Exhibit-16 in R.C.S. No.524 of 2025, thereby directing both the parties to maintain *status-quo* in respect of the disputed property mentioned in claim clause of the plaint. He submits that defendants *sou-motu* appeared before Trial Court and submitted application dated 21.2.2026 and prayed for vacating of interim relief/status quo order. Said

application has been decided in absence of the petitioner/plaintiff and *status quo* came to be vacated. Now, the matter is adjourned beyond the vacation.

3. Learned advocate appearing for the respondents/caveator supports order impugned. He submits that the *status-quo* order was to operate till appearance of the defendants. Defendants have caused appearance, filed their say/written statement and there was no impediment for deciding the application Exhibit-5.

4. Considering submissions advanced by learned advocates for the parties, writ petition can be **disposed of** by passing a innocuous order. Parties to appear before the Trial Court on **4.5.2026**. The parties shall complete their submissions/arguments on Exhibit-5 and Trial Court shall decide the same by 8th June, 2026.

5. Till then, status quo as granted vide order dated 29.1.2026 in R.C.S. No.524 of 2026 shall remain in-force.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

...