

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 3699 OF 2026

Nanasaheb Ajabrao Nikam

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

...

Ms Laxmi R. Thakur h/f Mr. Arun S. Shejwal - Advocate for the
Petitioner

Mr. A. M. Phule - AGP for the State

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : 08TH APRIL, 2026

PER COURT : -

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Petitioner.
2. The Revision Application No. 02/2026, along with the Stay Application preferred by the Petitioner, has been pending before Respondent No. 2 since January 2026. It is stated by the Petitioner that Respondent No. 5 had filed Appeal No. 02/2025 before Respondent No. 3 seeking cancellation of the extended tender time granted to the Petitioner. According to the Petitioner, Respondent No. 5 has no *locus standi* to file the said appeal before Respondent No. 3 against the Petitioner. The said appeal filed by Respondent No. 5 came to be allowed, and the Petitioner has now preferred a revision before Respondent No. 2. Considering that the matter pertains to a tender, the Petitioner prays that the Revision Application be expedited.

3. The learned AGP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

4. Taking into consideration the prayer clause and the time limits required to be adhered to, we dispose of the Writ Petition by directing Respondent No. 2 to decide Revision Application No. 02/2026 within a period of three (3) months from today, or to decide the Stay Application therein within a period of three (3) weeks from today.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

SG Punde