



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

924 WRIT PETITION NO.3636 OF 2026

ABDUL BARI ABDUL RAHEMAN AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. S.B. Ghatol Patil, Advocate for petitioners

Mr. V.K. Kagne, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 3

Mr. S.R. Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.4

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

DATE : 22nd APRIL, 2026

ORDER :

. Heard learned Advocate for petitioners. Learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Learned Advocate Mr. S.R. Yadav Lonikar waives notice for respondent No.4. No necessity to issue notice to respondent Nos.5 and 6.

2 Petitioners are challenging communication dated 06.01.2026, however, perusal of the same would show that proposal for inclusion of the petitioners' names in the Shalarth ID is not rejected, but it has been returned

for want of documents. There was, in fact, no cause of action for the petitioners to file the petition. Respondent Nos.5 and 6 ought to have removed those defects and re-submitted the proposal and accordingly, it appears that the proposal has been re-submitted on 04.02.2026.

3 In view of the same, we **dispose of** the writ petition by directing respondent No.4 to decide the said re-submitted proposal.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

agd