



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2999 OF 2026

Chandrasagar Bahuudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha Through Usha  
Laxman Bhalke And Another

VERSUS

State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Ors.

...

Mr. R. N. Dhorde, Sr. Adv a/w Mr. P. S. Dighe i/b Mr. V. R.  
Dhorde, Advocate for the Petitioners

Mr. A. B. Girase, GP for Respondent-State

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &  
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

DATED : 21<sup>ST</sup> APRIL, 2026

ORDER :-

. We had heard the matter yesterday for a while, and on the request of learned GP, the matter was adjourned till today to take instructions. Accordingly, now the learned GP has taken us through the letter issued to him by respondent no.2, thereby making a statement that in respect of the report dated 19.01.2026, the decision would be taken within a period of two months from today after giving a notice under Section 52 under the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and hearing the petitioners.

2. Further as regards the payment of salaries is concerned, a statement has been made that certain employees of the petitioners would be surplus, and therefore there has to be a separation of the pay bills of the regular employees as well as the surplus employees. If the appropriate bills are submitted, then they would be scrutinized

and then salaries would be paid. The said communication is taken on record by marking Exhibit-‘X’ as undertaking.

3. Before we proceed to close the petition, we must observe that the chequered history of the litigations before this Court prompts us to make observation that the petitioner/institution should not be unnecessarily targeted. Of-course by following due procedure of law, whatever steps are required, may be taken, but when it comes to not even adhering to the principles of natural justice, then it would become a high handed act on the part of the bureaucrats which is not permissible. Hope, whenever any action is taken, the authorities would adhere to the legal procedure.

4. In view of letter Exhibit-X, learned Senior Advocate Mr. R. N. Dhorde i/b Mr. V. R. Dhorde submits that the petitioners be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach this Court whenever occasion arises.

5. In view of the said statement and Exhibit-X, the Writ Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

**[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J]**

**[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]**