



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2448 OF 2026

Vitthal s/o Laxman Gangurde
& another

.. Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. Respondents

Mr. A. S. Gadekar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. B. Narwade, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : SMT VIBHA KANKANWADI
& HITEN S VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 6th MARCH, 2026.

PER COURT :

1. The present petition has been filed for following reliefs :

“B. By issuing writ of mandamus or any other writ, or order in the like nature, this Hon’ble Court be pleased to direct the respondent No. 03 to Add the name of the petitioner no. 01 in the ‘shalarth system.’

C. By issuing writ of mandamus or any other writ, or order in the like nature, this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to direct respondent No. 3 to consider the proposal forwarded by the Respondent no. 04 at the earliest in timebound manner, and for that purpose issue necessary order.”

2. The Petitioner was appointed as Assistant Teacher (Shikshan Sewak) on 15.06.2021. Respondent No. 4/The Education Officer (Secondary), granted approval to his appointed on 23.10.2024. Thereafter the proposal to include the name of Petitioner No. 1 in the Shalarth ID System was submitted on 27.12.2024.

3. Here, it is to be noted that we are going into the facts as the documents are produced, it appears that such a proposal was given and it was forwarded to Respondent No. 3. If that proposal has been received by Respondent No. 3, then he ought to have decided it. On the said forwarding letter, there is an acknowledgment of the office of Respondent No. 3 dated 03.01.2025. Under such circumstances, we dispose of the writ petition by directing Respondent No. 3 to take a decision on the proposal in respect of inclusion of Petitioner's name in the Shalarth ID System within a period of eight (8) weeks from today.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

dyb