



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

939 WRIT PETITION NO. 1757 OF 2026

Prathviraj Jawaharlal Sarda

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Charity Commissioner And Others

...

Mr. Amol Ratan Gaikwad - Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. V. M. Kagne - AGP for State

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI

AND

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : 17TH FEBRUARY, 2026

PER COURT : -

1. The present petition has been filed seeking a direction to Respondent No. 2 to decide Revision No. 70 of 2025 within a period of one month.

2. Heard the learned Advocate for the Petitioner. The learned AGP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. There is no necessity to issue notice to Respondent Nos. 4 to 17.

3. The first and foremost fact that requires to be noted is that the Revision before Respondent No. 2 appears to have been filed on 1st July, 2025. It appears that the other side appeared in the matter after service of notice. A copy of the *Roznama* shows that, sometimes, the advocates were present and the parties were absent, and at other times,

the advocates themselves were absent. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner is of the opinion that the stage now shown is for final hearing.

4. Considering the huge pendency in the office of Respondent No. 2, it is not feasible to issue a direction to decide the matter filed on 1st July, 2025 within a fixed time frame. However, we leave it to Respondent No. 2 to decide the Revision as early as possible. We reiterate that we are not imposing any time limit for the decision. If there is any urgency, the parties may file a specific application to that effect, and after hearing both sides on that application, Respondent No. 2 shall take a decision and decide the matter expeditiously.

5. With the directions as above, Writ Petition stands disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE