



-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

941 WRIT PETITION NO. 1699 OF 2026

Sanjana Rajesh Pupalwar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Another

AND

942 WRIT PETITION NO. 1700 OF 2026

Pranav Vitthalrao Puppalwar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Another

...

AND

943 WRIT PETITION NO. 1701 OF 2026

Rajan Sayareddy Puppalwar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Another

...

AND

945 WRIT PETITION NO. 1707 OF 2026

Lokita Vitthal Puppalwar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Another

...

Mr. Apparao P. Yenegure, Advocate for the Petitioner

Ms. Chaitali R. Chaudhari-Kutti, Mr. Madhukar A. Aher, Ms. B. B.

Gunjal, Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGPs for Respondents/State

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND

ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.

DATED : 12<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2026

PER COURT (Per Abasaheb D. Shinde J.):-

1. Heard.

2. In all these writ petitions, the petitioners are seeking expeditious disposal of their tribe claims which are pending before Respondent No.2- Scrutiny Committee since 28.03.2025.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submit that the Vigilance Cell Enquiry has been conducted and the Vigilance Cell Enquiry Report has already been submitted to Respondent No.2-Scrutiny Committee in all the cases. He submits that the next date for filing replies to these Vigilance Cell Enquiry Reports is on 16.03.2026. He submits that the petitioners undertake to file replies to the said Vigilance Cell Enquiry Reports on the same day.

4. In that view of the matter, we direct Respondent No.2-Scrutiny Committee to decide the tribe claims of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date on which the replies would be filed by the petitioners and advancement of arguments in the said proceedings.

5. With this direction Writ Petitions stand disposed of.

**[ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.]**

**[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.]**