



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1 WRIT PETITION NO. 1099 OF 2026

Sanjay Kashinathrao Kale

...Petitioner

VERSUS

1. The State Of Maharashtra
Through Its Revenue And Forest Department
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. The District Collector,
Beed.

.....

Mr. C.V. Thombre, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. R.S. Wani, AGP for Respondents-State

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 29 JANUARY 2026

ORDER :

1. Not on board. Taken on board upon mentioning.
2. Present petition has been filed for following relief:

“B. By issuing appropriate writ or order or directions in the like nature, the respondent No. 2-Collector may kindly be directed to decide the application dated 01.01.2026 before the District Collector, Beed U/section 44(2) of the Maharashtra Nagarparishad, Nagarpanchayat and Township Act, 1965 for disqualification of the President of Nagarparishad within stipulated period.”

3. Learned AGP waives service of notice for Respondents No. 1 and 2 and upon instructions from Respondent No. 2 submits that recently

the present petitioner has made amendment and has added some parties to the petition, which is before the Respondent No. 2 under Section 44(2) of Maharashtra Nagarparishad, Nagarpanchayat and Township Act, 1965. Notices will have to be issued to those parties and in fact that application which is before Respondent No. 2 has been filed on 01.01.2026. Therefore, said application would be decided on its own merits within a period of six months from today.

4. Said submission on behalf of Respondent No. 2 is taken as undertaking and we dispose of the petition by directing Respondent No. 2 to decide the application dated 01.01.2026 within a period of six months from today.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

Bhagyawant Punde