



{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

904 WRIT PETITION NO. 131 OF 2026

Santosh Bhagwan Chaudhar And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Ministry Of Urban Development And
Town Planning And Another

.....

Mr. Mayur R. Kothari and Mr. Sagar P. Mahale, Advocates for Petitioners

Mr. S.B. Narwade, AGP for Respondent No.1-State

Mr. R.V. Naiknaware, Advocate for Respondent No.2

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 05 JANUARY, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.
2. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondent No.1-State.
Learned advocate Mr. R.V. Naiknaware waives service of notice for
respondent No.2.
3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that now he has
received instructions that the demolition has taken place and therefore,
the petition become infructuous.
4. The writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE