



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

927 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN NO. 1331 OF 2025

**DADA HARIBHAU DHOBALÉ
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Swapnil S. Patunkar h/f. J.P.Legal
Associates

APP for Respondent-State : Mr.A.S.Shinde

...

CORAM : MEHROZ K. PATHAN, J.

DATE : 17.01.2026

P.C. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant has approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.152/2025, registered at Shirur Police Station, Dist. Beed, for the offence punishable under Sections 119 (1), 189 (1) (c), 189 (1) (d), 190, 191 (1), 333, 351 (2), 351 (3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3] This Court, vide order dated 31st July, 2025, has protected the present applicant with condition that the applicant shall attend the concerned police station on every Monday at 11.30 a.m. and shall co-operate with the investigation.

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that there are cross complaints and the complaint bearing FIR No. 151/2025 lodged by Vaibhav Baliram Khandagale against the husband of the complainant is prior in point of time and as such false implication of the present applicant cannot be ruled out. The applicant is the closed friend of Vaibhav Khandagale and the applicant has pacified the quarrel which has taken place on the date of incident between Vaibhav and the husband of the complainant. The applicant was, therefore, roped in by alleging false allegations. The FIR No.151/2025 would clearly show that the allegation of assault is against husband of the complainant. The applicant has attended the concerned police station and has co-operated with the investigation. Hence, the applicant may be released on anticipatory bail. The learned counsel relies upon the order dated 23.09.2025 passed by this Court in Criminal Appeal No.550/2025 wherein this Court has protected husband of the complainant, namely, Uttareshwar Gaat and other accused persons by granting anticipatory bail in the aforesaid Crime.

5] As against this, the learned APP strongly opposes the present application on the ground that the allegations are serious in nature and the applicant is alleged to have committed criminal trespass and has snatched the amount of Rs.50,000/- from the cupboard of the complainant. Even though the applicant has attended the

concerned police station and has co-operated with the investigation, this is not fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

6] I have gone through the investigation papers. Though the applicant is alleged to have present along with Vaibhav Khandagale at the time of committing criminal trespass, false implication of the present applicant cannot be ruled out. Taking into consideration the injury certificate of Vaibhav Khandagale i.e. applicant in ABA No.1201/2025 and cross complaint which is lodged at earlier point of time by Vaibhav Khandagale i.e. FIR No.151/2025 and the order grant bail to husband of the complainant dtd.23.09.2025 passed in Criminal Appeal No.550/2025, I am inclined to protect the present applicant. Hence the following order :

ORDER

- A) The Anticipatory Bail Application is allowed.
- B) The interim order dated 31st July, 2025 is hereby confirmed. The applicant is directed to attend the concerned police station on 22.01.2026, 23.01.2026, 27.01.2026 and 28.01.2026 and thereafter as and when called by the Investigation Officer till filing of the charge sheet.
- C) The Applicant shall also cooperate with the investigation.

D) The Applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, in any manner.

E) The applicant shall not enter the village Shirapur Gaat, Taluka Shirur Kasar, Dist. Beed till filing of the charge sheet.

7] Needless to say, violation of any of the aforesaid conditions shall entitle the prosecution to seek cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

8] The observations made herein above are prima facie in nature and shall not influence by the Trial Court or any other Court while deciding the case on its own merits.

9] The Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of accordingly.

[MEHROZ K. PATHAN]
JUDGE

DDC