



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

BAIL APPLICATION NO.2412 OF 2025

Anil s/o Sanjay Rathod

... **APPLICANT**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

... **RESPONDENT**

.....
Mr. N.S. Ghanekar, Advocate for applicant

Mr. C.V. Bhadane, A.P.P. for respondent – State

.....

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 5th JANUARY, 2026

PER COURT :

The applicant seeks bail in connection with Crime No.481/2025, registered at Satara Police Station, District Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar for the offences punishable under Sections 105, 106(1), 281, 125(a), 125(b) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 134, 184, 119, 177 of the Motor Vehicles Act.

2. It is alleged that, the vehicle was driven in rash and negligent manner. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that, the applicant is the owner of the vehicle and was not driving the same at the time of occurrence of the incident. The only allegation attributed as against the present applicant is under Section 281 and 202 of the Indian Penal Code, which is bailable one.

3. Per contra, learned A.P.P. opposed to grant the bail to the applicant.

4. Having considered the rival submissions and perusal of the record, it is evident that, the applicant is owner of the vehicle and was not driving the vehicle at the time of occurrence of the incident. In that view of the matter, case is made out for grant of bail.

5. Nevertheless the investigation is almost complete for all intent and purpose. As such, further detention of the applicant as an undertrial prisoner, in the circumstances of the case, does not seem to be either warranted or justifiable. I am, therefore, persuaded to exercise the discretion in favour of the applicant.

6. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

(i) Bail Application is allowed.

(ii) The applicant Anil Sanjay Rathod be released on bail on furnishing P.B. and S.B. of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with one solvent surety of the like amount in the above crime, on the conditions that :

(a) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses.

(b) The applicant shall remain present on each date,

unless exempted by the trial Court.

- (iii) Needless to state that, the observations rendered herein are confined to the present application, and the trial Court shall not be influenced by the same.

(SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.)

fmp/-