



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2188 OF 2025

JETIN ALIAS JATIL ASHOK SATDIVE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Somnath Nagode h/f. Mr.Satej S. Jadhav
APP for Respondent : Mr. C. V. Badhane

...
CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 06-02-2026

PER COURT:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.
2. This is a successive bail application filed by the applicant.
3. It is a well-established legal principle that a subsequent bail plea must be predicated on a substantial change in circumstances or the emergence of new material facts since the rejection of the previous application.
4. When confronted with the learned counsel for the applicant to demonstrate any such new grounds or change in circumstances, failed to provide any fresh material or valid justification that would warrant a reconsideration of the earlier decision.
5. In the absence of any demonstrable change in the facts or the legal position of the case, the application lacks merit and does not warrant further consideration.
6. Hence, the bail application stands dismissed.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.]