



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 990 OF 2025

Ravindra Bhima Shinde and Others

..APPELLANTS

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Another

..RESPONDENTS

....

Mr. P.B. Vikhe, Advocate for Appellants

Mr. V.M. Chate, A.P.P. for Respondent no.1 – State

Ms. Ashwini Lomte, Advocate h/f Mr. N.Y. Chavan, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

CORAM : ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.

DATE : 06th MAY, 2026

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the Appellants and Respondent No. 2 submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. Accordingly, they have entered into terms of compromise on 08th March, 2026 and tendered the same in the Court. The same is taken on record. The said terms of compromise were verified by the Registrar (Judicial), and he submitted his report before the Court on 17th April, 2026.
3. Apart from that, Respondent No.2 has filed an affidavit dated 08th February, 2026 before the Court. It would be appropriate to reproduce

paragraph nos. 4 to 8 of the said affidavit as under:-

- “4] In view of the amicable settlement and compromise, informant/resp.no.2 has no ill will or grudge against applicants/accused persons.*
- 5] The settlement and compromise not only restored cordial relations between applicants and deponent but also between their other family members.*
- 6] In view of the amicable settlement and compromise, deponent does not have objection to pass order in favour of applicants by way of allowing present criminal appeal for pre-arrest bail (Ravindra Bhima Shinde V/s. The State of Maharashtra and others) pending before this Hon’ble Court.*
- 7] In view of compromise and amicable settlement, deponent requests to allow the criminal appeal for anticipatory bail.*
- 8] The compromise and amicable settlement were as per the will and wish of the deponent. There was no force or coercion.”*

4. In view of the terms of compromise as well as the affidavit, the Appellant as well as Respondent No.2 urged for disposal of the Appeal.

5. On perusal of the settlement terms and the affidavit, it clearly appears that the matter has been settled between the parties amicably. Accordingly, Respondent No.2/Complainant has given no objection to allowing this Appeal.

6. It further appears from the record that this Court on 24th December, 2025, after hearing the parties, issued notice to the Respondents and in the

meantime ad-interim bail was granted to the Appellants, subject to the condition mentioned therein and said interim relief is continued till this date.

7. Learned A.P.P. does not dispute that an amicable settlement took place between the parties outside the Court, and therefore, he submitted to the order of the Court.

8. In view of the above, i.e. grant of ad-interim bail, filing of terms of compromise and affidavit of Respondent No.2 / Original Complainant for consenting to allowing this Appeal, I deem it appropriate to confirm the ad-interim order dated 24th December 2025, except Condition No. (ii) therein.

9. Consequently, **Criminal Appeal is allowed in terms of prayer clause [C] and [D]** and the ad-interim order dated 24th December, 2025, is confirmed.

10. Criminal Appeal is disposed of.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

SSD