



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

934 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 888 OF 2025

**SUNANDA MAHINDRA PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

.....
Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Chaudhari N. L. a/w. Mr. Rahul Awhad
APP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.S. Dande
Advocate for R/2 & 3 : Mr. N.N. Desale
.....

**CORAM : Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.
DATE : 10.03.2026**

PC.:-

1. Heard Mr. N.L. Chaudhari the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, Mr. Bhanghe the learned APP for the respondent/state and Mr. Desale the learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos.2 & 3.
2. By the present appeal under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the appellant victim/informant takes exception to the order dated 15.09.2025 passed in Cri. B.A. No.864/2025 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Special Court, Dhule, thereby releasing the respondent nos.2 and 3-accused on anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.159/2025 registered with Sakri Police Station, Tq. Sakri, Dist. Dhule on 04.07.2025 for the offence punishable

under Section 115(2), 351(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and under Sections 3 (1)(r), 3 (1)(s), and 3(1)(w)(ii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

3. On perusal of FIR, it appears that on 14.05.2025 at about 11.30 to 12.45 hours when the informant and her brother visited at their field gut no.427/2/1 and asked another accused-Sagar Shivaji Salunke for vacating the said land which was given on rent for running hotel, at that time said Sagar Shivaji Salunke intentionally hurled abuses on caste to the informant in public view and also issued life threat and abused in filthy language. So also, the said accused Sagar Shivaji Salunke caught hold of her hand and the present respondent nos.2 and 3 Kishor Shripat More and Shripat Shankar More as well as another person asked the informant and her brother to leave from the field. The said FIR does not disclose about respondent nos.2 and 3 abusing the informant on her caste. On 15.09.2025, the learned trial Court passed the impugned order and considering the cases of **Prathvi Raj Chauhan V/s. Union of India and others, (2020) 4 SCC 727**, **Shajan Skaria V/s. The State of Kerala, 2024 INSC 625** and **Kiran V/s. Rajkumar J. Jain; AIR 2025 SC 4083**, cited therein enlarged the respondent nos.2 and 3 on anticipatory bail by putting certain terms and conditions. The appellant has not brought any material on record to show that the respondent nos.2 and 3 have misused their liberty or

they have breached the terms and conditions of the bail.

4. Therefore, I do not find it appropriate to disturb the findings recorded by the learned trial Court. Accordingly, criminal appeal no.888/2025 is hereby dismissed.

[Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]