



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2008 OF 2025

VITTHAL ALIAS MAULI TUKARAM PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Abhaysinh K. Bhosle
APP for Respondent : Mr. C. V. Badhane

...
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4680 OF 2025 IN BA/2008/2025

RAMESH KASHINATH BHOI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for complainant : Mr. Datta A Madake

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 06-02-2026

PER COURT:-

1. The learned A.P.P. submits that this is a successive bail application, the applicant has not disclosed the filing of previous bail application in the present matter.

2. It is a well-established legal principle that a subsequent bail plea must be predicated on a substantial change in circumstances or the emergence of new material facts since the rejection of the previous application.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant, when this Court was not inclined to consider the application, the learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the application. Leave granted.

4. Accordingly, the bail application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Pending criminal application also stands disposed of.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

rrd