



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

955 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3256 OF 2025

1. Abdul Rajjak s/o Abdul Karim
Age:-75 years, Occ. Retired,
2. Sirin w/o Mohammad Ajij Virani,
Age:- 42 years, Occ. Household,
Both R/o Near Khoja Colony,
Sainagar, Nanded
3. Hina w/o. Aslam Baig Deshmukh,
Age:- 37 years, Occ. Medical Practitioner,
R/o Near Khoja Colony, Sainagar,
Nanded At Present R/o Gujarbaug, Maltekadi,
Nanded.

APPLICANTS
(Orig. Accused)

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Police Inspector,
Vimantal Police Station, Nanded,
Tq. & Dist. Nanded
2. Aslam Baig s/o Jafar Baig Deshmukh,
Age:-35 years, Occu : Medical Practitioner,
R/o Gujarbaug, Maltekadi, Nanded
Tq. & Dist. Nanded.

RESPONDENTS

...
Advocate for Applicants:Mr. S R. Mantri h/f S.S. Gangakhedkar
APP for Respondents: Mrs A.S. Mantri
Advocate for Respondent no.2 : Mr. V.A. Babras h/f G.A. Rathod
...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

Dated : January 22, 2026

FINAL ORDER :-

1. Present application is filed seeking quashment of the FIR and further proceedings in crime no.233 of 2022 registered with Vimantal Police Station, District Nanded for the offences punishable under sections 341, 294, 323, 506 read with 34 of Indian Penal Code and consequential proceeding in SCC No.1099 of 2024 pending before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nanded.

2. On 13.11.2025 learned counsel appearing for applicants and respondent no.2 submitted before this Court that parties have amicably settled the dispute. They were directed to remain present before the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. Accordingly, they presented themselves before learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court, tendered terms of compromise and accepted that they have voluntarily entered into compromise and signed terms. Perusal of the terms of compromise shows that there was family dispute between applicants and respondent no.2. They have amicably settled the same. Apparently, there is genuine settlement between the parties. No purpose would be served

by continuing the criminal proceeding/prosecution in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. In that view of the matter, considering law laid down in case of **Narinder Singh & others Vs. State Punjab and Another reported in (2014) SCC 466**, this is a fit case to exercise inherent jurisdiction of this Court to quash and set aside the proceeding. Even, otherwise, offences alleged are compoundable.

4. In the result, Criminal Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause '**B**' and **disposed off**.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
Judge.

...

AAA/-