



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2959 OF 2025
IN BA/2099/2024

VIJAY SHAMRAO GOYAR ALIAS BADA PAPA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Amol S. Gandhi
APP for Respondent : Mr. B. B. Bhise
...

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 06-01-2026

PER COURT:-

1. By the instant application, the applicant seeks relaxation of condition that the applicant shall not enter Dhule city, except on the dates fixed for trial in the trial Court, imposed on him by this Court, in Bail Application 2099 of 2024, dated 17.01.2025, while granting bail.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has duly cooperated with the investigating agency. It is contended that the applicant being sole bread earner and old male members of the family being in jail, is required to enter Dhule city for day-to-day works. Further, certain medical grounds regarding ailments of the mother of the applicant are pleaded to relax the condition imposed on the applicant.

3. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the application submitting that the grounds raised by the applicant are not sufficient to make out the case for relaxation of condition. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

4. Upon hearing the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. and perusal of the record, indicates that, no just or sufficient grounds are raised by the applicant in order to relax the condition as prayed. Further, this Court vide order dated 17.01.2025 had specifically in clause (b) of the said order, granted liberty to the applicant that, if, in any other case, the applicant requires to attend the court by entering Dhule city, he has to take prior permission of the Sessions Court for the same. Moreover, the applicant has criminal antecedents and the same disentitle for claiming the relief.

5. In the light of the aforesaid observations and considering the gravity of the offence against the applicant, this Court is not inclined to exercise discretion in favour of the applicant.

6. Resultantly, the criminal application is rejected.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE