



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1128 OF 2025

RAVIKIRAN VISHNU SANAP

VERSUS

RAMHARI MARUTI LAD AND OTHERS

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1129 OF 2025

RAVIKIRAN VISHNU SANAP

VERSUS

MARUTI NIVRUTTI LAD AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Applicants : Mr. Kishor D. Khade

APP for Respondents/State: Mr. K. K. Naik

...

CORAM : MEHROZ K. PATHAN, J.

DATE : 16th MARCH 2026

PER COURT :

1. Not on board. Mentioned. Taken on board.
2. The motion is made for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 07.03.2026.
3. The following paragraph shall be added at the beginning of Paragraph No. 5 of the order by substituting the earlier three lines:

“As against this, the learned APP Mr. Naik opposed the application on the ground that the Applicants are criminal history-sheeters. The learned Assisting Counsel Mr. Khade submitted that he has no instructions from the complainant and prayed for withdrawal of the Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant in both Criminal

Applications Nos. 1128/2025 and 1129/2025. However, this Court requested the learned Counsel Mr. Khade to assist the Court despite having no instructions on behalf of the complainant. The learned Counsel adopted the arguments of the learned APP and strongly opposed the application.

4. The motion is disposed of.

**MEHROZ K. PATHAN
JUDGE**

NATEEB..