



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

902 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 767 OF 2025

Eaknath s/o Prabhu Pawar,
Age 58 Years, Occu. Agri.
R/o Jamga Tanda, Majalgaon
Tq. Majalgaon Dist. Beed.Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Police Station
Majalgaon Gramin,
Tq. Majalgaon, Dist. Beed.
2. Sugandha s/o Vijaykumar Rathod,
Age:26 Years. Occu. Agri
R/o. Nalwandi Tanda.
Tq. & Dist. Beed. Respondents

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. N.L. Dhobale
APP for Respondents: Mr. K.N. Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. P.P. Kalaskar h/f N.R Thorat
...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

Dated : January 29, 2026

FINAL ORDER :-

1. The applicant seeks quashment of FIR no.334 of 2018 dated 8.10.2018 in charge-sheet no.165 of 2018 registered with police Station Majalgaon Gramin, Tq. Majalgaon, District Beed for the offence punishable under sections 354, 379, 504,

506 of Indian Penal Code as well consequential proceeding in RCC No.350 of 2018.

2. Learned advocates appearing for parties jointly submitted that applicant and respondent no.2 have arrived at amicable settlement. The respondent no.2 is personally present in the Court. Parties expressed their intention to file compromise terms. Accordingly, parties were relegated to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court for verification. Report of learned Registrar (Judicial) is received, which states that learned advocates filed compromise-deed executed between applicant and respondent no.2. Parties admit contents of compromise-deed to be true, correct entered without force or fraud.

3. Perusal of the compromise-deed shows that parties have amicably settled dispute in present crime and civil dispute. Respondent no.2, who is personally present states that she has no objection to quash and set aside the proceeding initiated in pursuance to the FIR lodged by her. Respondent no.2 has also filed her independent affidavit stating that she has no objection to quash the FIR and proceeding in RCC before Judicial Magistrate First Class, at Majalgaon.

4. Contents of FIR shows that dispute was of civil nature pertaining to ownership of agricultural land between parties. In wake of such dispute, incident dated 7.10.2018 occurred leading to filing of FIR. Allegations are personal in nature and does not involve public domain. Looking to the nature of allegations, material in charge-sheet and genuine settlement between the parties in, case is made out to exercise inherent powers, particularly in light of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case of **Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another** reported in (2014) SCC 466.

4. In result, Criminal Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B' and **disposed of**.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

...

AAA/-