



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 216 OF 2025

RENUKA NITIN BONDRE

VERSUS

NITIN S/O SHRIRAM BONDRE

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Shinde Ram Shivajirao
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. Akshay Dhananjay Kulkarni

...

CORAM : ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.

DATE : 22ND APRIL, 2026

PER COURT :

1. Learned Advocate for the Respondent submitted that the Respondent has uploaded a copy of the affidavit-in-reply on the website. However, he has tendered the hard copy of the affidavit-in-reply before the Court, and the same has been taken on record.
2. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant for some time.
3. When this Court has shown its disinclination to grant the relief of transfer, at that time, on instructions, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that, subject to the condition that if the respondent is ready to pay travelling charges as well as Rs. 500/-

towards the expenses to attend the Court proceeding at the Family Court, Aurangabad. Then she is ready to withdraw the Application,

4. In response, the learned Advocate for the Respondent showed his readiness to pay the travelling charges and the expenses of Rs. 500/- to attend the proceedings at Aurangabad to the Applicant or ready to deposit said amount in the Family Court as directed.

5. The statements of both the learned Advocates are accepted.

6. In view of the statements, **the Application is disposed of as withdrawn.**

7. Needless to clarify that the Respondent shall pay the travelling charges and Rs. 500/- towards the expenses to attend the Court proceeding at Family Court, Aurangabad, as and when she attends the matter.

8. Needless to further clarify that travelling charges would be payable when the Applicant provides a ticket for a bus or travel only, not by any other mode of transportation, as suggested by the learned Advocate for the Respondent and agreed by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

9. The Applicant is also permitted to appear before the Family Court by taking recourse to the Video Conference, if required, with the permission of the concerned court.

10. In view of the disposal of the matter, interim relief does not survive. Inform the concerned Court accordingly.
11. The application is disposed of.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

SPC