



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13691 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32211/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1345 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/2233/2011

Jijabai Tukaram Halgare
VERSUS
State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

.....

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13694 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32232/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1131 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/1173/2010

Mukind Kerba Bansode
VERSUS
State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

...

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13692 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32255/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1349 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/1170/2010

Babruwahan Nivruti Tore
VERSUS
State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

....

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13688 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32261/2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1333 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/1169/2010

Pandurang Baburao Shinde
(Died through L.Rs.) and another
VERSUS

-2-

State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

.....

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13690 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32235/2025

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1347 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/1171/2010

Balaji Nivratti Tore

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

.....

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13689 OF 2025
IN X-OBJST/32267/2025

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1339 OF 2010
IN/WITH FA/1168/2010

Dattu Vitthalrao Shinde and another

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra through Collector Latur and others.

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Sandeep C. Swami

AGP for Respondent No.1: Mr. V.V. Jahagirdar

Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. A.D. Soman

.....

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATED : 23rd JANUARY, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. These are the civil applications filed for condonation of delay caused in filing the cross objections. Perused the applications. Heard learned advocates for both sides. Learned advocate for the applicants submitted that the applicants are poor agriculturists whose lands are acquired. They are not conversant with the provisions of law and could not file the cross objections within time. Therefore, in

the interest of justice, the applications deserve to be allowed.

2. Learned advocate for the respondents strongly opposed the applications by submitting that there is no sufficient cause shown to condone the delay. He therefore, prayed to reject the applications.

3. On perusal of applications and the law laid down in the case of ***New Okhla Industrial Development Authority vs. Rameshwar @ Ramesh Chandra Sharma (Dead) Through Legal Heir and Another; 2022 SCC Online SC 1599***, it would be proper to condone the delay in the interest of justice as the claimants are poor agriculturists and it would be proper to decide their rights finally on merits. The applications are thus allowed. The delay caused in filing the cross objections is condoned.

4. In cross objections, issue notice to the respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives notice for respondent No.1 and learned advocate Mr. Soman, waives notice for respondent No.2.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

rlj/