



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**20 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6358 OF 2023
IN FAST/3402/2023**

DNYANESHWAR VITHOBA KHARABE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. V. V. Jahagirdar

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Vinod M. Vibhute

...

AND

**21 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6367 OF 2023
IN FAST/3365/2023**

RAMBHAU ROHIDAS JADHAV AND ANR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 to 3 : Mr. S.N. Morampalle

...

AND

**22 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6377 OF 2023
IN FAST/3295/2023**

VISHAL JAYRAM RATHOD AND ANR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. N.D. Raje

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. M.S. Taur

...

AND

**23 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6379 OF 2023
IN FAST/3297/2023**

VISHAL JAYRAM RATHOD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. V.V. Jahagirdar

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. M.S. Taur

...

AND

**24 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6469 OF 2023
IN FAST/10333/2023**

MURLIDHAR BANSHI RATHOD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALNA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S. N. Morampalle

...

AND

**25 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2753 OF 2025
IN FAST/1876/2025**

BALABHAU YENKAJI KASTE (DIED) THR. LRS ASARAM BALASAHEB KASTE AND
ANR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR PARBHANI AND
ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. V.D. Bhise h/f Mr. Kakde Kakde S.M.

AGP for Respondent nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. N.D. Raje

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mrs. Chaitali Chaudhari Kutti

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 09.03.2026

PER COURT :

Heard both sides. The applicants in all these appeals are seeking condonation of delay ranging from 1098 to 4058 days.

2. The applications are contested by the respondents.

3. The averments of the applications have not been traversed by the respondents. There is no reason to infer any *mala fides* on the part of the applicants. They are ready to give up interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period. There are lapses on the part of the applicants, which can be demonstrated as follows:

Sr.No.	C.A. No.	Delay caused	Date of filing of application	Date of first order
1)	6358/2023	1098	01.02.2023	26.10.2023
2)	6367/2023	1265	01.02.2023	26.10.2023
3)	6377/2023	4058	01.02.2023	26.10.2023
4)	6379/2023	4058	01.02.2023	26.10.2023
5)	6469/2023	3952	27.03.2023	12.02.2024
5)	2753/2025	3707	15.01.2025	20.08.2025

4. For the reasons stated in the applications, delay stands condoned on condition that the applicants shall not be entitled to interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period and lapses from the date of filing of the application till date of securing first order as enumerated in the table referred above.

5. The Civil Applications are disposed of.

FIRST APPEALS :

6. **Admit.** Learned A.G.P waives service for the respondent/State. Learned counsels Mr. Vibhute, Taur and Mrs. Kutti waives service for the respondents/Acquiring Body in respective appeals.

7. Call for record and proceedings.

8. Print is dispensed with.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

mkd/-