



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

960 FIRST APPEAL NO. 808 OF 2023

VAIBHAV DADARAO TARAL
VERSUS
SITARAM RAMSHARAN YADAV AND ANR

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Madhav M. Bhokarikar.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Rohit H. Dahat.

...

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 809 OF 2023

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1088 OF 2026
IN FA/808/2023

VAIBHAV DADARAO TARAL
VERSUS
SITARAM RAMSHARAN YADAV AND ANR

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Madhav M. Bhokarikar.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Rohit H. Dahat.

...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 28th January, 2026.

P.C.:

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1088 OF 2026

1 The learned counsel for applicant pointed out that respondent No.1 is not served by regular mode. He, therefore, prayed for service of notice through paper publication.

2 For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b) and disposed of.

3 The applicant is permitted to serve unserved respondent No.1 by way of paper publication, to be published in a widely circulated daily newspaper "Daily Tarun Bharat, Mumbai / Thane".

4 List both the appeals on 22nd April, 2026.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

nga