



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2026
IN WP/5129/2025

SNEHAVARDHINI SAHAKARI GRUHNIRMAN SANSTHA
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT
VERSUS
NARENDRAKUMA KACHRULAL ABAD AND OTHERS

.....

Advocate for the Applicants : Mr. Amey Sabnis h/f. Rai Shantanu Prabhakar
Sr. Advocate for Respondents/State : Mr. S.B. Deshpande i/b. A.V. Lavte, AGP
Advocate for Petitioner in WP : Mr. D.P. Palodkar

.....

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI &
VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, JJ.
DATE : 17th April, 2026

PC. :-

1. Parties are permitted to correct the pagination.
2. By this application, the applicant is seeking following reliefs:

“(B) This Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to permit/allow the applicants to intervene in the Writ Petition No.5129/2025 filed by the present respondent No.1 and for the said purpose Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the present respondent No.1 i.e. original writ petitioner to implead the applicant as party respondents in the aforesaid Writ Petition.

“(C) This Hon'ble High Court, considering the additional facts pleaded in the present Civil Application, may be pleased to stay the effect, operation and implementation of the impugned order dated 19.03.2025 passed by respondent No. 8 to the extent of 1 H. 60 R. of Land of the applicant Society situated in Survey No.559, Sindkhed Road, Tq. and District Jalna.”

3. Reliance is placed on the order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.5129/2025 (Narendrakuma Kachrual Abad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) dated 16.09.2025, wherein, this Court has stayed the impugned communication dated 19.03.2025, in so far as the survey no.553 in District Jalna. In support of the prayers made in the application, reliance is also placed on the communication dated 26.06.2025 addressed to the Divisional Commissioner, Ch. Sambhajinagar by eight member committee headed by Collector, Jalna, wherein, reference is given of the Pathak committee which was formed to inquire into the Government lands, Inam lands, tenancy lands and ceilings land at Jalna.

4. Heard Mr. Sabnis learned advocate for the Applicants, Mr. S.B. Deshpande the learned senior advocate appearing for the State Government and Mr. Palodkar learned advocate for the Intervenor.

5. Perused the record.

6. Learned senior advocate has fairly submitted that as per the Pathak committee report land gut no.559 is a private land. It is mentioned that survey no. 543 was given survey no.559 and survey no.544 was given survey no.560. It is also mentioned that as per the record, survey nos.559

and 560 are private lands. These aspects are also mentioned in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 by Sub-Divisional Officer, Jalna.

7. In the light of the above and in view of the order dated 16.09.2025 passed by the Coordinate Bench, we are inclined to allow the Civil Application. In the result, the Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause-B and C. The impugned order dated 19.03.2025 is stayed in so far as survey no.559 in Dist. Jalna is concerned.

[VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.]

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]