

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

944 WRIT PETITION NO. 15092 OF 2025

Sanjay Shantilal Gundecha

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Apparao Bhimrao Kharosekar - Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. S. K. Tambe - AGP for State

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : 21ST JANUARY, 2026

PER COURT : -

1. Leave to amend. Amendment to be carried out immediately.
2. Apart from the other prayers, the petitioner is seeking directions especially from respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated 19.06.2025.
3. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner. Learned AGP waives notice for all the respondents.
4. The petitioner contends that he is a contractor, who had taken the tender under Mukhyamantri Gram Sadak Yojna. He has completed the work in pursuant to the work order that was issued on 01.07.2021. The appeals were submitted to the respondent authorities, however, the payment has not been given. The proposals were approved by respondent No. 3 and 4 and forwarded it further.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that the representation dated 19.06.2025 is pending with respondent No.1, we direct respondent No.1 to respond and decide the said representation within a period of two months from today.

6. With these directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

SG Punde