

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

10 WRIT PETITION NO. 14911 OF 2025

Arjun Piraji Shinde And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Collector Jalna And Others

...

Mr. Yogesh G. Somani - Advocate for the Petitioner through VC

Mr. A. B. Girase - GP for Respondents

...

AND

11 WRIT PETITION NO. 14939 OF 2025

Santosh Balaji More And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Collector Jalna And Others

....

Mr. Yogesh G. Somani - Advocate for the Petitioner through VC

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar - AGP for Respondents

....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI

AND

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : 09TH FEBRUARY, 2026

PER COURT : -

1. Heard the learned Advocate, who appeared through Video Conferencing, for the Petitioner.

2. A perusal of the entire Petition would show that there is no document on record indicating that the lands or any part of the lands belonging to the Petitioners are affected by the construction of the

proposed road. The Petitioners are directed to place such documents on record in the normal course. We would not have even granted this opportunity, as it is, in fact, the duty of the Advocate to take proper instructions and annex all documents to support the rights which the Petitioners intend to claim. However, the Petitioners should not suffer because of the inaction on the part of the Advocate and, therefore, we are giving this opportunity.

3. Such documents, along with an additional affidavit, shall be filed within a period of four (4) weeks from today. This period shall not be extended on any count. If the documents along with the affidavit are filed within the stipulated time, list the matters for further consideration on **24th March, 2026**. In the event of failure on the part of the Petitioners to abide by the aforesaid directions, the Petitions would stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE