



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

915 WRIT PETITION NO.14684 OF 2025

Daga Mahadu Sonawne

VERSUS

The Union Of India Through Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Amit S. Savale, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. N. U. Yadav, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 4

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 29 JANUARY 2026

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4 tenders a communication by respondent No.4. It is taken on record by marking as Exhibit-'X'. According to respondent No.4 certain documents are required to be submitted by the petitioner before the respondents would take further action.

2. Learned Advocate for the petitioner is supplied with the copy of Exhibit-'X'. He submits that the petitioner would submit those documents within a period of two weeks from today.

3. If those documents are supplied, then respondent No.4 to undertake the further process. Thereafter, the respondents to decide the said proposal within a period of two months, after the receipt of documents as per Exhibit-'X'.

4. In view of these directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm