



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 WRIT PETITION NO.14296 OF 2025

Jyoti Gurunath Raiphale

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Divisional Commissioner And Others

...

Mr. R. P. Cheble, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. B. Narwade, AGP for Respondents/State.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 20 JANUARY 2026

ORDER :

. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner.

2. He submits that the petitioner's wall was demolished illegally and, therefore, he is seeking directions for inquiry against the erring officers of the Municipal Authorities, compensation and directions to respondent No.1 to restore the wall. He submits that now the petitioner has constructed the wall at his own cost.

3. After disinclination is shown to grant any relief in favour of the petitioner, learned Advocate for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the

petition with liberty to avail the reliefs as permissible under the law.

4. Hence, the writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm