



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 14199 OF 2025

Shweta Arun Shivramwar

PETITIONER

VERSUS

The Union of India and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. S. G. Mundhe and A. S. Shivpuje, Advocates for Petitioner
Ms. Aummaheshwari S. Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No.1
Mr. S. V. Kuptekar, Advocate for Respondent No.2
.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 29th APRIL, 2026

ORDER :

1. Leave to correct.
2. The Petitioner seeks direction to Respondents No.3 and 4 to declare her result and issue mark sheet to her of 4th Semester examination of B.Ed. Course. The order dated 5th May, 2025 passed by Respondent No.4 thereby refusing to declare the result of the Petitioner is also challenged.
3. Admittedly, the Petitioner has taken admission for B.Ed. Course in June, 2021. It is her case that she completed her first semester in December, 2021 and second semester in April, 2022.

The third semester was cleared by her in December, 2023. Thereafter, she suffered abortion and was advised bed rest for four months. Thereafter, she delivered a child by way of cesarean, in the month of April, 2024. Therefore, she could not appear for the fourth semester in April, 2024. Thereafter, she submitted her examination form for the examination to be held in December, 2024. Admit Card and Hall Ticket was issued to the Petitioner and accordingly, she appeared for the fourth semester examination in December, 2024. After the examination was over, since her result was not declared, the Petitioner requested for issuance of mark sheet of 4th semester, however, the same was denied to her, by the impugned order. It is stated that since the Petitioner has taken admission in B.Ed. Course in the academic year 2021-2022, as per the Circular of the University dated 7th January, 2022, the Petitioner ought to have completed the B.Ed. Course within 3 years. As per her admission, she should have completed the course by summer examination held in June 2024. It is further stated that in fact, examination form of the Petitioner should not have been accepted and the College has committed an error in accepting her examination form and the same was submitted online to the University. Therefore, inadvertently hall ticket was issued to her. The mistake was realized by the University after issuance of the hall ticket to the

Petitioner and, therefore, the University issued letters to all the concerned colleges informing that the students who have not completed the B.Ed. Course within 3 years and who have been issued hall tickets, should not be issued admit cards. It is, therefore, stated that since the Petitioner has failed to appear for summer examination held in June, 2024, she has not completed the course within 3 years and, therefore, she is not entitled to appear for the examination and hence her result cannot be declared.

4. Heard learned Advocate for the Petitioner, learned Advocates for Respondent No.1 and 2. Perused the record.

5. Admission taken by the Petitioner in the academic year 2021-22 is not in dispute. So in terms of the Regulations, the Petitioner ought to have completed the B.Ed. Course within three years from the date of her admission. The Petitioner appears to have taken admission in the month of June, 2021, as she appeared for the first semester examination in the month of December, 2021. So, no fault can be found with the approach of the Respondent No.4 who has held that the Petitioner has failed to appear in the summer examination 2024 and, therefore, she has not completed her B.Ed. Course within three years from the date of her admission. However, it is the case of the Petitioner

that since she had undergone abortion in the year 2023 and then cesarean delivery in April, 2024, she could not appear in the summer Examination 2024. In this view of the matter and taking into consideration the fact that the Petitioner's examination form was accepted and hall ticket and admit card was issued to her, though inadvertently, as has been claimed by the University, it is clear that the Petitioner has not played any fraud and the Petitioner appeared in the examination. In that view of the matter, we are of the view that, taking into consideration the peculiar facts of the present case, the result of the Petitioner needs to be declared, as for no fault on her part, she was permitted to appear for the examination. The petitioner cannot be punished for the mistake committed by the respondents of accepting her examination form. In that view of the matter, we are inclined to allow the Writ Petition.

6. The Writ Petition is, therefore, allowed. The impugned order dated 5th May, 2025 passed by Respondent No.4, is hereby quashed and set aside. Respondents No.3 and 4 are directed to declare the result of the Petitioner for the fourth semester final examination held in June, 2024 within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. We make it clear that

this decision is rendered in the peculiar facts of the present case and it shall not be treated as a precedent.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV]
JUDGE

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE

drp/wp14199-15.doc