



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13981 OF 2025

Pratiksha Uttam Rathod

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

Mr. P. K. Wagh h/f Mr. B. S. Borde, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. R. K. Ingole, Advocate for respondent No. 2

Mr. S. B. Narwade, AGP for respondent-State

**CORAM : Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi &
Hiten S. Venegavkar, JJ.**

DATE : 07th January, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Mr. Wagh, learned Advocate, holding for Mr. Borde, learned Advocate for petitioner submits that the advocate on record has filed leave note.
2. In the morning session, he was not able to give the reason for the absence of the learned Advocate on record and it appears that thereafter in the second session, learned Advocate on record tried to join through video conferencing however, due to technical problem at his side, he is not able to establish contact. Hence we adjourn the matter.
3. Stand over to 22.01.2026.

(Hiten S. Venegavkar, J.)

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

B. S. Joshi