



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

6 WRIT PETITION NO.12157 OF 2025

Murlidhar Pralhad Wattamwar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Santosh B. Gastgar, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondents/State.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 04 MARCH 2026

ORDER :

. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner as well as learned AGP.

2. Learned AGP submits that in view of application dated 31.01.2025, when the measurement was tried to be done on 19.03.2025, the petitioner was absent. He produces on record the documents from the office of respondent No.4. Those are taken on record by marking as Exhibit-'X' collectively. He also states that the joint owners Ramesh Nagorao Kulkarni and Shriniwas Rameshrao Kulkarni had taken objection for the measurement. Copy of the written objection taken by Ramesh

Nagorao Kulkarni has been tendered in Exhibit-'X' collectively, which mentions the Writ Petition No.361 of 2025.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that he has produced the copy of memo of Writ Petition No.361 of 2025 along with the order dated 14.01.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Single Bench of this Court. We could gather from the said order that ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (C) was granted. Prayer clause (C) of Writ Petition No.361 of 2025 reads as under :-

“(C) Pending hearing and final disposal of this writ petition, the further proceedings initiated pursuant to impugned notice before Ld. Deputy Superintendent of Land Record, Degloor in file Number /Land measurement /Complaint Application / Degloor / 2024 bearing outward number 1374 may kindly be stayed.”

4. The present petitioner is party to the said writ petition. In view of this, when disinclination is shown to grant any relief in favour of the present petitioner, learned Advocate for the petitioner, upon instructions, seeks withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to file appropriate application before the Hon'ble Single Bench of this Court in Writ Petition No.361 of 2025.

5. In view of the said statement and the fact that some interim order is operating, it will not be feasible for us to go into the matter. Hence, the writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm