



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

906 WRIT PETITION NO. 11264 OF 2025

UDDHAV NAMDEO SAKHARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Shrimant R. Kedar Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Wani, A.G.P. for Resp. Nos. 1 to 3.
...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JANUARY, 2026

ORDER :

1. Present Petition is filed for following reliefs:-

"B] By issuing writ of mandamus or order or any other writ or direction in the like nature, to direct the respondent No.4 and 5 to forward the proposal to the office of Respondent No.3 as regards grant of monetary benefits by declaring deemed date as a Headmaster in favour of petitioner.

C] By issuing writ of mandamus or order or any other writ or direction in the like nature, to direct the respondent No.3 to grant monetary benefits by declaring deemed date as a Headmaster in favour of petitioner.

D] By issuing writ of mandamus or order or any other writ or direction in the like nature, to direct the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to decide the representation dated 11.04.2025 and 25.05.2025 submitted by Petitioner for grant of monetary benefits by declaring deemed date as a Headmaster in favour of Petitioner."

2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that

prayer clause E] if directed to be considered, it will suffice the purpose of the petitioner at the present stage. The petitioner states that the petitioner was eligible to grant promotion as Headmaster, several representations to the authority have been made, however the said representations were not considered due to several litigations which were pending in the Court of law. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has taken objection to the illegal appointment and promotion of the headmaster which has been granted by respondent Nos.4 and 5.

3. However, without going into the merits of the matter, we had sought instructions from the learned AGP appearing for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3, who submits that the representations which are pending before the respondent authorities would be decided within a period of eight weeks from today. The statement is accepted as an undertaking.

4. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to decide the representations of the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from today. Accordingly , the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE