



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

905 WRIT PETITION NO. 11007 OF 2025

AKANKSHA ROHIDAS NIKAM
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Paresh B. Patil (Borse) Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Narwade, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.
...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JANUARY, 2026

ORDER :

1. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner. He has tried to contend that since the petitioner is teaching 9th and 10th standard only, the Government Resolution dated 24th August 2018 is not applicable to her and therefore, it is not necessary for the petitioner to have cleared TET examination, yet the said objection has been taken and the proposal of the petitioner has been rejected.

2. At this stage the petitioner has not produced on record any such document which would show that the advertisement in

response to which she was appointed, was meant only for the appointment as teacher to teach 9th and 10th standard. Her appointment order states that she has been appointed as an assistant teacher. In fact there is no date on the appointment order. But the subsequent documents which ought to have been in fact considered of prior date of her appointment order i.e. the permission granted by the education officer (secondary), then copy of proceeding, proposal details etc., are also silent on this point. That means the permission was also not sought by giving clear picture that her appointment would be for 9th and 10th standard only. Now the learned Advocate for the petitioner seeks accommodation to place further documents on record, if available, to show that the appointment of the petitioner was for 9th and 10th standard only. In fact we are not inclined to grant such accommodation. If the petitioner wants to canvas a point then she should produce all the necessary documents along with the petition itself and cannot go on adding the documents when the questions in respect of those documents are asked from the Bench. However, keeping in mind the interest of the petitioner, we are granting one chance. Such documents to be produced within a period of one week.

3. Place the matter for further consideration on 23rd January 2026. This time for production of documents will not be extended on any count.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

asb/JAN26