



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

59 WRIT PETITION NO.3855 OF 2020

Satish Digambar Mahale and Others

..Petitioners

Versus

The Union of India and Others

..Respondents

.....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 9618 OF 2025

Sadhana Mahendra Jain and Others

..Petitioners

**Versus**

The Govt. of India and Others

..Respondents

.....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 9617 OF 2025

Sanjay Mulchand Katariya

..Petitioner

**Versus**

The Govt. of India and Others

..Respondents

.....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 9619 OF 2025

Dattu Supdu Patil and Others

..Petitioners

**Versus**

The Govt. of India and Others

..Respondents

.....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 9620 OF 2025

Rajesh Chandramal Galani

..Petitioner

**Versus**

The Competent Authority and Special

Land Acquisition Officer No 2 and Others

..Respondents

.....

AND

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3837 OF 2020**

Himmatrao Harakchand Mali

Through LRs

Kautik Himmat Mali Alias Patil and Others

..Petitioners

Versus

The Union of India and Others

..Respondents

.....  
AND

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3848 OF 2020**

Yashwant Narayan Patil and Others

..Petitioner

Versus

The Union of India and Others

..Respondents

.....  
AND

**WRIT PETITION NO. 5920 OF 2025**

Santosh Supdu Patil Deceased

Through LRs

Devidas Santosh Patil and Another

..Petitioners

Versus

The Competent Authority and

Special Land Acquisition Officer and Others

..Respondents

.....

Shri. Ajeet B. Kale and Ms. Sakshi A. Kale, Advocates for the Petitioners in the respective matters.

Shri. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for the State in all the matters.

Shri. D. P. Madkar h/f. Shri. D. S. Manorkar, Advocate for NHA in WP Nos.3855/2020, 3837/2020, 3898/2020, 5920/2025 & 3848/2020.

Shri. U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP Nos.3855/2020 & 3837/2020.

Shri. Nitin Solunke, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP No.9618/2025

Shri. Rahul Bagul, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority

& Union of India in WP No.9617/2025

Shri. Suresh W. Munde, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP No.9619/2025

Shri. S. S. Deve, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP No.9620/2025

Shri. Borulkar, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP No.3848/2020

Shri. Sarwadnya, Advocate for the Respondent - Competent Authority & Union of India in WP No.5920/2025

.....

**CORAM : N. B. SURYAWANSHI AND  
VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, JJ.**

**Dated : MARCH 16, 2026**

**FINAL ORDER :-**

1. In Writ Petition Nos.3855 of 2020, 5920 of 2025, 3837 of 2020, 3848 of 2020, the Petitioners seek direction to decide their Representations / Applications filed during the period from October-2019 to August-2024, which are pending before the concerned Authorities. In the said Representations / Applications, the Petitioners seek 30% Solatium and 15% interest in pursuance of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court of India in **Union of India vs. Tarsem Singh [(2019) 9 SCC 304]**.

2. In Writ Petition Nos.9617 of 2025, 9618 of 2025, 9619 of 2025, 9620 of 2025, the Petitioners seek direction to the concerned Authorities to calculate and pay amount of solatium, component and

interest as per the Judgment of **Tarsem Singh** (*supra*).

3. Learned Advocate for the NHAH has placed on record copy of the order dated 23.02.2026, which indicates that the Hon'ble Apex Court has heard the arguments in Review Petition filed by the NHAH in **Tarsem Singh** (*supra*) and has reserved the Judgment.

4. In the light of the above, Writ Petition Nos.3855 of 2020, 5920 of 2025, 3837 of 2020, 3848 of 2020 are disposed of by directing that the decision in the Review Petition in **Tarsem Singh** (*supra*) delivered by the Hon'ble Apex Court be brought to the notice of the concerned Authorities and thereafter the Applications / Representations of the Petitioners shall be decided by taking into consideration the decision in Review Petition, within a period of eight (8) weeks, after giving opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.

5. Writ Petition Nos.9617 of 2025, 9619 of 2025, 9620 of 2025, 9618 of 2025 are disposed of by directing that the decision in Review Petition in **Tarsem Singh** (*supra*) delivered by the Hon'ble Apex Court be brought to the notice of the concerned Authorities and thereafter the concerned Authorities shall calculate the amount of solatium, component and interest by considering the decision of the Hon'ble Apex

Court in Review Petition, within a period of eight (8) weeks, after giving opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J.)

(N. B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)

GGP