

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 2671 OF 2026

Akshay Vinayak Pawar

VERSUS

Pooja Akshay Pawar

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kore Ganesh J

Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. P.S. Dikle

...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATED : MARCH 11, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned advocates appearing for respective parties.
2. Present petition takes exception to order dated 18.11.2025 passed by Civil Judge Senior Division, Kallam in H.M.P No.06 of 2024 below Exhibit-8, whereby pendente lite maintenance @ Rs.6,000/- per month has been granted in favour of respondent/wife.
3. Mr. Ganesh Kore, learned advocate appearing for petitioner submits that petitioner resigned from services in the year 2022. Since then, he has no income and, therefore, interim maintenance granted @ Rs.6,000/- is excessive and exorbitant.
4. The reasoning adopted by learned Civil Judge Senior Division in impugned order depicts that petitioner had filed Criminal Application No.4031 of 2022 before this Court seeking quashment of FIR wherein he stated that he was working as Manager and his father is also doing his own job. Apart from this, the petitioner holds

agricultural land to the extent of 1 H 11 R. Apparently, when petitioner was working as Manager in reputed bank like Kotak Mahindra, he has earning capacity by which he can maintain his family. The maintenance of Rs.6,000/- per month cannot be said to be excessive and exorbitant in facts and circumstances of case. Hence, there is no merit in writ petition and same is dismissed.

5. However, looking to fact that recording of evidence in H.M.P No.06 of 2024 is already commenced and matter is pending for cross-examination of petitioner, the Trial Court shall expeditiously decide the proceeding and in any case within a period four months from today.

(S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

Mujaheed//