



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 550 OF 2026

Madhavrao Kashinathrao Nagalgave

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

Mr. D. R. Iragle Patil and Mr. S. D. Irale Patil, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. R. S. Wani, AGP for respondent No. 1

Mr. V. C. Patil h/f Mr. U. B. Bondar, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3

**CORAM : Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi &
Hiten S. Venegavkar, JJ.**

DATE : 24th March, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Present petition has been filed for following relief:-

"B. The Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass necessary order and direct the respondents to decide the representations / applications dated 31.3.2022, 17.7.2023, 23.2.2024, 26.9.2024, 29.11.2024, 15.4.2025 and dated 12.6.2025 as per Exh. C-colly. and clear the pending claims within stipulated period as found just and proper by this Hon'ble Court."

2. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner.

3. Learned AGP waives notice for respondent No. 1. Learned Advocate, Mr. Patil h/f learned Advocate, Mr. Bondar, waives notice for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

4. It appears that the petitioner who stood retired as Junior Engineer from Zilla Parishad establishment has made composite representation. According to the petitioner, he has not been paid the

Traveling Allowance Bills for the period 2017 to August, 2022, the benefit of service assured progression scheme for 10 years, 20 years and 30 years of service as well as representation regarding recovery of amount of Rs. 90,000/- after his retirement towards the loan sanctioned for construction of house.

5. The composite representation also speaks about non payment of pension; however, the learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that now, the petitioner is getting regular pension; however, the other allowances are not paid. His representation dated 03.08.2022 is not yet decided by the respondent No. 2.

6. Learned Advocate for the respondent No. 2, upon instructions, makes a statement that whatever claim is still pending with respondent Nos. 2 and 3 would be decided by respondent No. 2 within a period of four weeks. The said statement is taken as undertaking.

7. We dispose of the writ petition by giving direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the remaining claims of the petitioner from his representation dated 03.08.2022 within a period of four weeks from today.

(Hiten S. Venegavkar, J.)

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

B. S. Joshi