

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 WRIT PETITION NO. 408 OF 2026

Manzoor Ahmed Mehmood Shaikh

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

AND

921 WRIT PETITION NO. 410 OF 2026

Sadashiv Uttam Rathod

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

In both Petitions:

Mr. Anand V. Patil Indrale - Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. A. M. Phule - AGP for State in WP No. 408 of 2026

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar – AGP for State in WP No. 410 of 2026

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI

AND

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : 17TH FEBRUARY, 2026

PER COURT : -

1. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner in both the Petitions submits that, after filing the Petitions, the decision has been taken by Respondent No. 22 and, therefore, the matters have become infructuous.

2. In view of the above statement, the Petitions stand disposed of as having become infructuous.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE