



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

953 WRIT PETITION NO.60 OF 2026

Shailesh Sharad Meher

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Mr. A. B. Kharosekar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Tambe, AGP for Respondents/State.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 06 JANUARY 2026

ORDER :

. Leave to amend. Amendment to be carried out immediately.

2. Apart from the other prayers, the petitioner is seeking directions especially from respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated 20.06.2025.

3. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner. Learned AGP waives notice for all the respondents.

4. The petitioner intends that he is a contractor who had taken the tender under Mukhyamantri Gram Sadak Yojna. He has completed the work in pursuant to the work order that was issued on 12.07.2021. The

appeals were submitted to the respondent authorities, however, the payment has not been given. The proposals were approved by respondent No.4 and forwarded it further.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that the representation dated 20.06.2026 is pending with respondent No.1, we direct respondent No.1 to respond and decide the said representation within a period of two months from today, if not already decided.

6. With these directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm