

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**966 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 766 OF 2024
IN WP/8059/2020**

MADHAV S/O SADASHIV HAKELE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY,

...
Mr. Vishwajeet Ramesh Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. N.B. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent-State.
...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATED : 11 FEBRUARY 2026.

Per Court :

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the order under contempt, respondent No.4 has decided the proposal of petitioner vide order dated 23rd January 2025. As such, direction appears to be complied with.
2. However, since the proposal of the petitioner is rejected, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to challenge the same under appropriate provisions of law. In view of the same, the contempt petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner as aforesaid.

**(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE)
JUDGE**

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**