



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 10304 OF 2024

THE SECRETARY AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SHITAL BABURAO PALMATE AND ANOTHER

Mr. P. G. Rodge, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent No.1
Mr. V. M. Lomte, AGP for Respondent/State

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATED : 13th JANUARY, 2026

P C. :-

1. The present Writ Petition takes exception to the order dated 29.02.2024 passed by Presiding Officer, School Tribunal, Latur in Appeal No. 13 of 2022 by which appeal filed by Respondent No.1 challenging her otherwise termination was allowed and directions were given to reinstate with all other consequential benefits. The Petitioners-Management assailed said order before this Court by filing present Writ Petition.

2. On 13.01.2026, learned Advocates appearing for respective parties jointly submitted that parties have arrived at an amicable settlement of the dispute and prepared terms of such settlement. Accordingly, they were relegated to Registrar (Judicial) of this Court for recording of terms of settlement and its verification. Accordingly, parties presented before learned

Registrar (Judicial) of this Court. The report is received from Registrar (Judicial) stating that parties have put signatures on terms of settlement. They admit contents as true and correct. They admit that compromise is voluntarily entered into without any force or fraud. The terms of settlement are taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'X' for identification. A perusal of terms of settlement depicts that Petitioners have reinstated Respondent No.1 in service as an Assistant Teacher on 15.06.2024 and since then she is discharging her duties in Petitioner No.2-School. The Petitioners have agreed to forward proposal of Respondent No. 1 to office of Respondent No. 2 for continuation of approval and include her name in School Portal for obtaining the necessary sanction from education department. The Petitioners have also agreed to forward salary bills of Respondent No.1 to Education Department.

3. In view of aforesaid terms of settlement, it appears that parties i.e. Petitioners-Management and Respondent-employee have genuinely settled their dispute. Respondent No.2 is not party to aforesaid settlement. However, since contesting parties i.e. Petitioners and Respondent No.1, have amicably resolved dispute in terms of settlement produced on record, Writ Petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

ssp