



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4120 OF 2024

SHIVAJI GUNWANT KOLHE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...  
Adv. Priyanka R. Deshpande for the Petitioner  
Mr. S. R. Wakale, AGP for the State  
Adv. S. S. Manale for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3  
...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND  
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : 09.04.2026

PER COURT:

1. Not on board. On mentioning, taken on board.
2. By this petition, the petitioner challenges a suspension order dated 28.12.2024 (which should be read as 28.12.2023) passed by respondent No. 2 – CEO. Subsequently, after filing of the petition, on 31.12.2024 the CEO has revoked the suspension of the petitioner and reinstated him in service. However, in the said order, it is mentioned that, “श्री एस. जी. कोल्हे, ग्रामसेवक, ग्रामपंचायत, नागझरी यांच्या निलंबनास 3 महिन्यांचा कालावधी पूर्ण झाल्याने, संदर्भ क्र. 4 वरील शासन निर्णयात नमूद केलेल्या तरतुदीनुसार, विभागीय खातेनिहाय चौकशीच्या व मा. उच्च न्यायालय मुंबई, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद यांच्या अंतिम निर्णयाच्या अधीन राहून, जि. प. सेवेत पंचायत समिती, जळकोट येथे रिक्त पदी पुनःस्थापित करण्यात येत आहे.”

3. Mentioning of pendency of this petition is totally unwarranted and uncalled for in the order of revocation of suspension.
4. Since the suspension of the petitioner is already revoked, the petition is, therefore, rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of.
5. We however make it clear that the sentence mentioned in the order dated 31.12.2024 "मा. उच्च न्यायालय मुंबई, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद" should not be taken into consideration by the respondents while giving the petitioner his service benefits including salary, suspension allowance, etc.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J.]

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]