



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

958 WRIT PETITION NO. 3388 OF 2024

**SHAIKH AKBAR DAGDUBHAI
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

...
Mr. Syed Tauseef Yaseen, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. G.A. Kulkarni, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 3 – State.
Mr. Lalit Badgujar, Advocate holding for Mr. D.S. Bagul,
Advocate for respondent No.2.
...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATED : 5 MARCH 2026.

Oral order (Per Abasaheb D. Shinde, J.) :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 3 and learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2.
2. Though the petitioner is seeking direction to decide the tribe claim of the petitioner expeditiously, he has prayed for issuance of provisional appointment also. However, we find that it would be appropriate to direct the Scrutiny Committee to decide the tribe claim of the petitioner.
3. We find that since the tribe claim of the petitioner is pending with respondent Scrutiny Committee from 01.07.2019, we direct the respondent Scrutiny Committee to

decide the tribe claim of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of three months from today.

4. With this direction, writ petition is disposed of.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE)
JUDGE

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE