IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4678 OF 2026
IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 928 OF 2026

1. Narayan Uttam Tipte,
   Age 39 years, Occupation Agriculture,

2. Navnath @ Aajinath Jagannath Chavan,
   Age 46 years, Occupation Agriculture,

3. Vaijnath Sahebrao Chavan,
   Age 62 years, Occupation Agriculture,

4. Pintu @ Dadarao Kisan Kale,
   Age 44 years, Occupation Agriculture,

5. Waman @ Tatyaram Jagannath Chavan,
   Age 36 years, Occupation Agriculture,

6. Jyoti Satish Shendge,
   Age 31 years, Occupation Agriculture,

7. Kavirabai Ashok Shendge,
   Age 39 years, Occupation Agriculture,

8. Ashok Devrao Shendge,
   Age 51 years, Occupation Agriculture,

9. Satish Devrao Shendge,
   Age 47 years, Occupation Agriculture,

10. Tatyaram Uttam Tipte,
    Age 44 years, Occupation Agriculture,

All appellants R/o. Tippatwadi,
Taluka and District Beed. ... Applicants
[Original Accused]

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,
through the Police Station, Beed Rural,
Taluka and District Beed. ... Respondent

...

Ms. Sushma Tukaram Jadhav, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mrs. Chaitali Chaudhari Kutti, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.

DATED : 04 NOVEMBER 2026

PER COURT :-

1. This is an application for suspension of substantive sentence and grant of bail as a result of judgment and order of conviction dated 08.10.2026 rendered by learned Additional Sessions Beed, in Sessions Case No. 117/2018.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants pointed out that, applicants faced trial in above sessions case and conviction is rendered for offence under Sections 143, 147, 148, 324 r/w 149 of IPC. It is pointed out that, maximum sentence for above offence is imprisonment for one year with fine. That, fine amount is already paid. Statement is made across the bar that, applicants were on bail during trial. That, appeal has been preferred against the said judgment and order of conviction, however the same being of the year 2026, may not be heard in immediate future and hence above relief is urged for.

3. Learned APP opposed on the ground that on full fledged trial, conviction has been recorded.

4. Considering the above submissions and the fixed short term sentence, and that the applicants were on bail during trial, relief as prayed deserves to be granted. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

I. Criminal Application **is partly allowed.**

II. The substantive sentence imposed on the applicants in Sessions Case No. 117 of 2018 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Beed on 08.10.2026 stands suspended till the final hearing and disposal of Criminal Appeal No. 928 of 2026.

III. The applicants be released on P.R. Bond of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) each with two solvent sureties in the like amount by each of them.

IV. The applicants shall not commit any criminal activity.

V. The applicants shall remain present before the learned trial Judge once in six months, till final hearing and disposal of the appeal, commencing from the date they tender bail papers and thereafter, the trial Judge to fix dates for their subsequent appearances.

VI. In case of two consecutive defaults on the part of the applicants to remain present before the trial court, the trial court to inform this court about the same and in that eventuality, the prosecution would be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail granted to the applicants.

VII. Bail before the trial court.

[ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.]

vre