IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4594 OF 2026

NIVRUTTI SHIVRAM UDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER.

...
Shri Dhananjay A. Mane, advocate for the applicant.
Shri V.M. Lomte, APP for respondent No.1/ State.
Shri Ramesh N. Dhakane, advocate for respondent No.2.
...

CORAM : SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.

DATE : 29 October 2026

P. C. :-

1. Not on the Board. Mentioned. Taken on Production Board.

2. The applicant is challenging the FIR No.599/2025 dated 19.09.2026 registered with the Satara Police Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 74 and 351-2 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The said FIR is registered at the behest of respondent No.2.

3. At the outset, the learned advocate Shri Mane

appearing for the applicant submits that the parties have settled the dispute and are present before this Court. Respondent No.2 has sworn the affidavit before this Court stating therein that due to misunderstanding at the relevant time, the FIR was lodged, however, now they have decided to put an end to the dispute. As such, respondent No.2 is having no objection for allowing the present application and quashing the FIR.

4. In that view of the matter, the affidavit tendered today by respondent No.2, dated 29.10.2026, is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

5. Having considered the nature of the allegations made in the FIR and the affidavit sworn by respondent No.2, it appears that the FIR came to be lodged due to some misunderstanding and misconception. Respondent No.2 has categorically stated in her affidavit that she does not want to pursue the allegations made in the FIR and has no objection for quashing the same. The parties are present before this Court and have resolved their dispute. In view of the specific stand taken by respondent No.2 and the settlement arrived at between the parties, it appears that the parties are desirous of putting an end

to the dispute. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, continuation of the criminal proceedings would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, this is a fit case to exercise the inherent jurisdiction of this Court.

6. In view of the above, the Criminal Application is allowed. Subject to deposit of costs of Rs.25,000/- (twenty five thousand) by the applicant with the High Court Legal Services Sub Committee, Aurangabad, within two weeks from today, FIR No.599/2025 dated 19.09.2026 registered with the Satara Police Station, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar and the further proceedings thereof, are quashed and set aside.

kps (SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.)