IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

372 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.37202 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7738 OF 2018
IN FAST/37202/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
NAMA GOVINDA BARDE (DIED) THR LRS MAHADEO (DIED) THR LRS LAXMIBAI AND ORS
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
...

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37347 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7745 OF 2018
IN FAST/37347/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
SONA GYANA BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7746 OF 2018
IN FAST/37347/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
SONA GYANA BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37356 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7747 OF 2018
IN FAST/37356/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

VERSUS
DIGAMBAR NIWARTI BANSODE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7748 OF 2018
IN FAST/37356/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
DIGAMBAR NIWARTI BANSODE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37344 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7743 OF 2018
IN FAST/37344/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
BALASAHEB SHANKAR LOKARE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37353 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7751 OF 2018
IN FAST/37353/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
PRALHAD VISHWANATH BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7744 OF 2018
IN FAST/37344/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
BALASAHEB SHANKAR LOKARE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37341 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7742 OF 2018
IN FAST/37341/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
GOVIND VISHWANATH BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7741 OF 2018
IN FAST/37341/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
GOVIND VISHWANATH BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7752 OF 2018
IN FAST/37353/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
PRALHAD VISHWANATH BARDE
...
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.

Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7739 OF 2018
IN FAST/37202/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
NAMA GOVINDA BARDE (DIED) THR LRS MAHADEO (DIED) THR LRS LAXMIBAI AND ORS

…

Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 37350 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7750 OF 2018
IN FAST/37350/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
GANPATI RAMA KAKADE (DIED) THR LRS DNYANABAI AND ORS

…

Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondents.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7749 OF 2018
IN FAST/37350/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR
VERSUS
GANPATI RAMA KAKADE (DIED) THR LRS DNYANABAI AND ORS

…

Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellants/State.
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for Respondents.

…

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 1st OCTOBER, 2026

P.C.:-

CIVIL APPLICATIONS FOR DELAY:-

1. By these applications, the Applicant seeks condonation of delay in filing first appeals.

2. Considering the averments made in the applications, delay is condone. Accordingly, the applications are allowed.

FIRST APPEALS:-

3. Since the acquisition proceedings pertain to the year 1988, the appeals are heard finally with the consent of the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

4. By the present appeals, the Appellants/State are aggrieved by the judgment and award dated 03.05.2012 passed by the learned Joint Civil Judge Senior Division, Latur in LAR Nos.320/1992, 321/1992, 322/1992, 323/1992, 324/1992, 325/1992 and 570/1992.

5. Both the learned Advocates for the respective parties submit that the compensation enhanced by the learned Reference Court does not exceed four times the amount awarded by the SLAO.

6. In fact the State Government vide Government Resolution No.Sankirna-2014/Prak.Kra.4/Bham-1/A-4 dated 03.11.2016 with Corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 and 13.08.2018 to the said Government Resolution, took a decision not to challenge the reference,

if compensation is enhanced only upto four times.

7. Therefore, I do not find any reason to intervene with the impugned order. Hence, the present Appeals are dismissed.

8. In view of dismissal of the Appeals, pending Civil Applications, if any, do not survive and are disposed of accordingly.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)

Tauseef