IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

16 WRIT PETITION NO. 13477 OF 2026

Nivrutti Satwaji Nivdange And Others ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others ….Respondents

Mr. V. U. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mrs. Neha Kamble, AGP for the Respondents-State

AND

404 WRIT PETITION NO. 13957 OF 2026

Nilesh Shantilal Lalwani And Others ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. A. P. Bhandari, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mrs. Neha Kamble, AGP for the Respondent-State

AND

405 WRIT PETITION NO. 13958 OF 2026

Vitthal Raghunath Harkal And Others ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. P. B. Kulkarni, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. V. P. Dama, AGP for the Respondents -State
Mr. U. S. Mote, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 to 6
Mr. S. R. Dheple, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 7 & 8

AND

406 WRIT PETITION NO. 13959 OF 2026

Kumar Keshav Karad And Others ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. Arvind Gopalrao Ambetkar, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. J. P. Reddy, AGP for the Respondent -State

AND

407 WRIT PETITION NO. 13963 OF 2026

Dattatraya Bajirao Aghav ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. S. R. Jogdand, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. P. M. Kulkarni, AGP for the Respondent -State

AND

408 WRIT PETITION NO. 13964 OF 2026

Waman Dnyanoba Kalsaitkar And Another ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. V. U. Pawar, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. V. P. Dama, AGP for the Respondent-State

AND

409 WRIT PETITION NO. 13965 OF 2026

Farhana Begum Mohd Aliuddin And Others ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

Thr Secretary And Others .....Respondents

Mr. A. G. Kale, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. J. P. Reddy, AGP for the Respondents -State
Mr. A. R. Nikam, Advocate for Respondent No. 5

AND

410 WRIT PETITION NO. 13966 OF 2026

Santaram Karbhari Borde And Others ....Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others .....Respondents

Mr. P. B. Kulkarni, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. S. R. Dheple, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 to 6
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 7 to 9

AND

411 WRIT PETITION NO. 13967 OF 2026

Balu Govindlal Bansali And Others ....Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others .....Respondents

Mr. S. B. Madde, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. J. P. Reddy, AGP for the Respondents -State

AND

412 WRIT PETITION NO. 13968 OF 2026

Uma Vijendra Wagh And Others ....Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others .....Respondents

Mr. S. A. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. P. M. Kulkarni, AGP for the Respondents -State

AND

413 WRIT PETITION NO. 13969 OF 2026

Achhyutrao Appaji Ughade And Another ….Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra
Thr Secretary And Others …..Respondents

Mr. S. N. Patne, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. J. P. Reddy, AGP for the Respondents -State
Mr. V. M. Vibhute, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 & 7

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI &
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J. J.

DATE : 08th OCTOBER, 2026

PER COURT :

1. Indisputably, the issue raised in these Petitions is squarely covered by the orders dated 3rd July, 2026 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Circuit Bench at Kolhapur in Writ Petition No. 5445 of 2026 and dated 19th June, 2026 in Writ Petition No. 3412 of 2026.

2. Reliance is also placed on order dated 18th March, 2026 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at Principal Seat, Bombay in Writ Petition No. 15662 of 2025.

3. For the reasons stated in the above mentioned orders, the Writ Petitions are partly allowed with following directions:

a. The Petitioners would be entitled for monetary benefits / difference of pensionary benefits as per the actuals w.e.f. their respective dates of superannuation, provided they have worked for 6 months or more in the last year up to their superannuation.

b. The pensionary benefits of the Petitioners would be recalculated by including the annual increment in their last drawn salary prior to their superannuation and such recalculated pensionary benefits shall be payable to them.

c. Arrears if any, shall be paid to them within a period of 90 days. Many of the Petitioners have approached belatedly before this Court and, therefore, akin to the orders passed in several matters, they would not be entitled for the interest component.

[ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.] [NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

VishalK/16wp13477.26